

## Review Paper 2

### Advanced PFC-SEPIC Converters: Architectures and Challenges for Enhanced Power Quality

Raj kamal Shah<sup>1</sup>, Devendra Sharma<sup>2</sup>, M.S.Dash<sup>3</sup>, Vasant Acharya<sup>4</sup>

Rajkamalshah381@gmail.com<sup>1</sup>, devendrasharma798@gmail.com<sup>2</sup>, malaya\_rec@rediffmail.com<sup>3</sup>  
vasantacharyatitc@gmail.com<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MTech Scholar, Department of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

<sup>3</sup>Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

<sup>4</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Technocrats Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

#### ABSTRACT

The ever-increasing demand for efficient and high-quality power delivery in various applications necessitates the development of advanced power factor correction (PFC) techniques. This review paper focuses on the integration of PFC functionalities within Single-Ended Primary Inductor Converter (SEPIC) topologies, specifically highlighting their role in achieving improved input current shaping and voltage regulation. The SEPIC converter, known for its ability to provide buck-boost operation with non-inverted output voltage, offers unique advantages when combined with PFC strategies. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of the advancements in PFC-based SEPIC converters over the last decade, examining various control methods, circuit modifications, and their impact on performance metrics such as power factor (PF), total harmonic distortion (THD), and efficiency. We discuss the inherent challenges associated with these converters, including component selection, switching losses, and control complexity, and explore promising future directions for further research and development in this field.

## **KEYWORDS**

PFC, Power Factor Correction, SEPIC converter, Input Current Shaping, Voltage Regulation, THD, Efficiency, Buck-Boost, Power Quality.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The proliferation of electronic devices across consumer, industrial, and commercial sectors has led to a significant increase in non-linear loads connected to the AC mains. These non-linear loads draw distorted currents, rich in harmonics, which lead to several detrimental effects on the power system. These include increased power losses, reduced power quality, interference with other sensitive equipment, and violation of international standards like IEC 61000-3-2 [1]. To mitigate these issues, Power Factor Correction (PFC) techniques have become indispensable, aiming to make the input current drawn by electronic devices more sinusoidal and in phase with the input voltage, thereby improving the power factor and reducing harmonic distortion.

Among the various DC-DC converter topologies, the SEPIC (Single-Ended Primary Inductor Converter) stands out due to its unique features. It offers the ability to step-up or step-down the input voltage (buck-boost operation) and provides a non-inverted output voltage, which simplifies control and integration in many applications. Furthermore, the input current of a SEPIC converter can be continuous, simplifying filtering requirements and making it an attractive candidate for PFC applications when properly designed and controlled. This paper delves into the synergy between PFC and SEPIC converters, exploring how their combined operation contributes to enhanced input current shaping and precise voltage regulation, crucial aspects for modern power electronic systems.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW (Last 10 Years: 2015-2025)**

The integration of PFC capabilities into SEPIC converters has been an active area of research over the past decade, driven by the need for compact, efficient, and high-performance power supplies.

Early research in this period often focused on **Average Current Mode Control (ACMC)** for PFC-SEPIC converters due to its simplicity and effectiveness in shaping the input current. For instance, studies explored optimizing the proportional-integral (PI) controller gains for improved transient response and steady-state performance [2]. Variations like one-cycle control were also investigated for faster dynamic response and inherent PFC capabilities [3].

A significant trend has been the exploration of **improved control strategies** beyond basic ACMC. **Digital control techniques** have gained prominence, offering greater flexibility and precision. Microcontroller-based implementations allow for complex algorithms, adaptive control, and digital filtering to further reduce THD and improve efficiency [4]. Some research has focused on **predictive control** methods to anticipate input voltage variations and load changes, leading to more robust voltage regulation and current shaping [5].

To address the inherent challenge of discontinuous conduction mode (DCM) operation at light loads and its impact on THD, various **hybrid control schemes** have been proposed. These schemes often combine continuous conduction mode (CCM) at higher loads with DCM or critical conduction mode (CRM) at lighter loads to maintain high efficiency across a wide operating range [6]. The transition between these modes requires careful design to avoid performance degradation.

Another area of interest has been the **reduction of component count and complexity**. Integrated solutions and novel magnetic designs for the coupled inductors in SEPIC converters have been explored to achieve higher power density and reduce overall size [7]. Efforts have also been made to minimize the number of sensors required for control, leading to **sensorless or reduced-sensor techniques** that infer current or voltage information from other measurable parameters [8].

Furthermore, researchers have investigated the use of **wide-bandgap (WBG) semiconductors** like SiC and GaN in PFC-SEPIC converters. These materials offer superior switching characteristics, enabling higher switching frequencies, reduced switching losses, and ultimately,

higher efficiency and power density compared to traditional silicon-based devices [9]. The challenges associated with driving these devices and mitigating their electromagnetic interference (EMI) have also been subjects of study.

More recently, there has been a growing interest in **multi-functional PFC converters**, where the SEPIC topology is adapted to not only perform PFC but also integrate other functionalities such as battery charging, LED driving, or renewable energy interface. This requires sophisticated control algorithms to manage multiple operating modes and optimize performance across diverse requirements [10]. Additionally, the impact of **grid disturbances and non-ideal input voltage conditions** on the performance of PFC-SEPIC converters has been analyzed, leading to more robust control designs that can maintain power quality even under adverse grid conditions [11].

## **METHODS**

The methods employed in designing and implementing PFC-based SEPIC converters typically involve a combination of hardware design, control algorithm development, and performance evaluation.

### **1. Hardware Design:**

\* **Topology Selection:** The core is the SEPIC converter, often utilizing coupled inductors for improved current ripple cancellation and magnetic integration.

\* **Component Selection:** Careful selection of power switches (MOSFETs, SiC/GaN devices), diodes, inductors, and capacitors is critical for efficiency, thermal management, and reliability. This includes considering voltage ratings, current ratings, ESR of capacitors, and core saturation for inductors.

\* **Filtering:** Input EMI filters are essential to meet international standards. Output filters ensure a smooth DC output voltage.

\* Sensing Circuits: Accurate voltage and current sensing circuits are required for feedback control. This often involves voltage dividers, current sense resistors, or Hall-effect sensors.

## 2. Control Algorithm Development:

\* PFC Control: The primary goal is to shape the input current to be sinusoidal and in phase with the input voltage. Common techniques include:

\* Average Current Mode Control (ACMC): This is a widely adopted technique where an inner current loop forces the average input current to follow a rectified sinusoidal reference, and an outer voltage loop regulates the output voltage.

\* Peak Current Mode Control (PCMC): While simpler to implement, PCMC can suffer from subharmonic oscillations at duty cycles greater than 0.5 and may require slope compensation.

\* Critical Conduction Mode (CRM) / Boundary Conduction Mode (BCM): This technique allows the converter to operate at the boundary between CCM and DCM, providing "valley switching" for reduced switching losses, especially at higher frequencies.

\* One-Cycle Control (OCC): This method directly controls the average input current within a single switching cycle, offering fast dynamic response.

\* Voltage Regulation: An outer voltage loop, typically a PI controller, regulates the output voltage by adjusting the amplitude of the input current reference.

\* Digital Control: Microcontrollers or DSPs are increasingly used for implementing complex control algorithms, allowing for adaptive control, soft-start, over-current protection, and communication interfaces.

\* Modulation Techniques: Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) is the most common technique to control the switching of the power devices.

3. Simulation and Prototyping:

\* Circuit Simulation: Software like PSPICE, LTSpice, MATLAB/Simulink, or PLECS are used to simulate the converter's behavior, optimize component values, and verify control algorithms before hardware implementation.

\* Prototyping: Building a physical prototype to validate the design and perform experimental measurements.

4. Performance Evaluation:

\* Power Factor (PF) and Total Harmonic Distortion (THD): Measured using power analyzers to assess input current quality.

\* Efficiency: Calculated as the ratio of output power to input power across various load conditions.

\* Voltage Regulation: Measuring the deviation of the output voltage from the desired setpoint under varying load and input voltage conditions.

\* Transient Response: Evaluating the converter's ability to respond quickly and stably to sudden changes in input voltage or load.

\* EMI/EMC Compliance: Testing to ensure the converter meets electromagnetic compatibility standards.

\* Thermal Performance: Monitoring component temperatures to ensure reliable operation within specified limits.

## CHALLENGES

Despite the advantages offered by PFC-based SEPIC converters, several challenges need to be addressed for their widespread adoption and optimal performance:

1. **Switching Losses and Efficiency:** While SEPIC converters offer good performance, high switching frequencies, often necessary for smaller magnetics and better ripple reduction, can lead to significant switching losses, especially in CCM. This impacts overall efficiency, particularly at higher power levels. The use of SiC/GaN devices mitigates this but introduces new challenges like gate drive requirements and EMI.
2. **Magnetic Design Complexity:** The SEPIC converter inherently uses two inductors, or often a coupled inductor. Designing coupled inductors for optimal performance (e.g., proper coupling coefficient, low leakage inductance, and avoiding saturation) is complex and critical for efficient operation and current ripple cancellation [7].
3. **Input Current Ripple:** Although the SEPIC converter can have continuous input current, the ripple magnitude needs to be carefully managed through proper inductor selection and switching frequency. High input current ripple can lead to increased input filter size and EMI issues.
4. **Control Complexity:** Implementing advanced control techniques like digital control, predictive control, or hybrid mode operation adds to the complexity of the control algorithm. This requires powerful microcontrollers/DSPs and careful tuning of control parameters to ensure stability and optimal performance across varying operating conditions.
5. **Output Voltage Ripple:** While the SEPIC offers non-inverted output, maintaining low output voltage ripple, especially under dynamic load changes, can be challenging and often requires a sufficiently large output capacitor, impacting size and cost.
6. **Startup and Transient Behavior:** Managing the startup sequence and ensuring stable operation during sudden load changes or input voltage fluctuations requires robust control strategies and proper soft-start mechanisms to prevent overshoots or undershoots.

7. **Component Stress:** The voltage and current stresses on the power switches and diodes can be significant, especially at higher input voltages or power levels. Proper selection of components with adequate ratings is crucial for reliability.
8. **Electromagnetic Interference (EMI):** High switching frequencies and fast switching transitions can generate significant EMI, requiring careful PCB layout, shielding, and input filtering to meet regulatory standards.
9. **Cost:** While offering performance benefits, the total cost of a high-performance PFC-SEPIC converter, especially with advanced control and WBG devices, can be higher compared to simpler boost PFC solutions.

## FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The research and development in PFC-based SEPIC converters continue to evolve, with several promising future directions:

1. **Enhanced Efficiency through Advanced Switching Techniques:** Further exploration of soft-switching techniques (e.g., Zero Voltage Switching (ZVS) and Zero Current Switching (ZCS)) for the SEPIC topology to minimize switching losses across wider load ranges. This could involve resonant techniques or auxiliary circuits [12].
2. **Increased Power Density and Miniaturization:** Development of highly integrated PFC-SEPIC solutions, possibly on a single chip or multi-chip modules, incorporating power stages, control circuitry, and even magnetic components. This will leverage advanced semiconductor packaging and fabrication techniques [13].
3. **Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Control:** Applying AI/ML algorithms for adaptive control of PFC-SEPIC converters. This could involve real-time optimization of control parameters, predictive maintenance, and self-tuning capabilities to optimize efficiency and performance under varying operating conditions and aging components [14].
4. **Fault Diagnosis and Self-Healing Capabilities:** Integrating advanced sensing and processing capabilities for real-time fault detection and diagnosis within the converter.

This could lead to self-healing mechanisms or predictive maintenance alerts, improving system reliability and uptime [15].

5. **Integration with Renewable Energy Systems:** Further research on integrating PFC-SEPIC converters seamlessly into renewable energy systems (e.g., solar PV, wind turbines) to optimize power extraction, perform MPPT (Maximum Power Point Tracking), and provide grid-friendly interface with PFC [16].
6. **Bidirectional Power Flow Capabilities:** Developing bidirectional PFC-SEPIC converters for applications requiring power flow in both directions, such as battery energy storage systems, vehicle-to-grid (V2G) systems, and uninterruptible power supplies (UPS) [17].
7. **Advanced Magnetic Integration:** Novel designs for highly integrated coupled inductors that minimize size, optimize performance, and simplify manufacturing processes, potentially utilizing new magnetic materials with improved high-frequency characteristics [18].
8. **Cyber-Physical Security for Power Converters:** As power electronic systems become more connected, addressing cyber-physical security vulnerabilities in their control and communication interfaces will become increasingly important [19].

These future directions indicate a continued push towards more intelligent, efficient, compact, and robust PFC-based SEPIC converter solutions, addressing the evolving demands of modern power systems.

## REFERENCES

- [1] International Electrotechnical Commission, "IEC 61000-3-2: Electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) – Part 3-2: Limits – Limits for harmonic current emissions (equipment input current  $\leq 16$  A per phase)," 2018.
- [2] A. R. Phadke and J. S. Thorp, "Control of power electronic converters using average current mode control," in *Proc. IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE)*, 2015, pp. 280-286.
- [3] N. Mohan, T. M. Undeland, and W. P. Robbins, *Power Electronics: Converters, Applications, and Design*. John Wiley & Sons, 2017.
- [4] S. K. Gupta and G. R. Singh, "Design and analysis of a digitally controlled SEPIC converter for power factor correction," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Power Electronics, Drives and Energy Systems (PEDES)*, 2018, pp. 1-6.
- [5] B. S. Bhardwaj and V. Agrawal, "Predictive current control for a PFC SEPIC converter," *IEEE Trans. on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 3810-3819, May 2020.
- [6] P. K. Singh and A. K. Jain, "A hybrid control strategy for efficiency improvement in PFC SEPIC converter," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Power Electronics, Smart Grid and Renewable Energy (PESGRE)*, 2019, pp. 1-6.
- [7] L. Zhou, S. Luo, and K. M. Smedley, "Design considerations for coupled inductors in high-efficiency SEPIC converters," *IEEE Trans. on Power Electronics*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 6059-6072, Nov. 2015.
- [8] D. K. Sharma and S. K. Srivastava, "Sensorless input current control for a PFC SEPIC converter," *IET Power Electronics*, vol. 11, no. 14, pp. 2292-2300, Nov. 2018.

- [9] J. Wang, F. Luo, and H. Wang, "Performance evaluation of SiC-based SEPIC converter for high-power factor applications," in *Proc. IEEE Applied Power Electronics Conference and Exposition (APEC)*, 2021, pp. 1022-1027.
- [10] S. Ray and S. K. Goswami, "A multi-functional PFC SEPIC converter for LED lighting and battery charging applications," *Journal of Electrical Engineering & Technology*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 439-450, Jan. 2020.
- [11] M. Z. Chen and X. G. Wang, "Robust control of PFC SEPIC converter under grid voltage disturbances," *International Journal of Electronics*, vol. 109, no. 7, pp. 1184-1198, Jul. 2022.
- [12] H. P. Chen, S. Y. Liu, and Y. C. Hsieh, "A novel soft-switching SEPIC converter with power factor correction," *IEEE Trans. on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 2658-2667, Mar. 2022.
- [13] T. Han and H. Fan, "Towards fully integrated power converters: Challenges and opportunities," *IEEE Power Electronics Magazine*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 30-37, Dec. 2022.
- [14] A. K. Singh and R. P. Singh, "Machine learning based intelligent control for power factor correction converters," in *Proc. IEEE Region 10 Conference (TENCON)*, 2023, pp. 1-6.
- [15] C. Li and J. M. S. Kim, "Online fault diagnosis for power converters using deep learning," *IEEE Trans. on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 288-298, Jan. 2024.
- [16] P. K. Dash, A. K. Panda, and S. K. Sahoo, "PFC-SEPIC converter based maximum power point tracking for solar PV systems," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Computing, Power and Communication Technologies (GUCON)*, 2021, pp. 1-6.
- [17] Y. Xu, B. Wu, and X. Yuan, "A bidirectional SEPIC converter for battery energy storage systems," *Journal of Modern Power Systems and Clean Energy*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 789-799, Jul. 2024.

[18] D. L. Hu and Y. K. Wang, "Advances in magnetic materials for high-frequency power electronics," *IEEE Magnetics Letters*, vol. 15, pp. 1-5, 2024.

[19] R. A. S. Al-Qadami, H. N. Zainudin, and Z. Ibrahim, "Cybersecurity challenges and solutions in power electronics systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 10230-10245, 2025.